



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,968	07/08/2003	David M. Bashant	END920000147US2	8800
7590 05/19/2004			EXAMINER	
	nick & D'Alessandro L	SHAH, SANJIV		
Three E-Comm Square Albany, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/614,968	BASHANT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sanjiv D. Shah	2176				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will; by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u>	uly 2003.	·				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •	eived in this National Stage				
* <	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived				
	oce the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rece	iveu.				
Attachmen		🗖 .	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔯 Infori Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/2003</u> .		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/614,968

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zoltan (Patent # 6,529,917).

Regarding claim 1, Zoltan teaches claimed invention of synchronizing related data elements between two database as shown in fig 4 and described in col. 3, lines 17-24.

A universal identifier corresponding to data element in first and second storage system is described in col. 8, lines 13-18, wherein Zoltan teaches the checksum value which is equivalent to universal identifier, since same values is replicated in both databases as described in col. 6, lines 54-61.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,968

Art Unit: 2176

First record identifier corresponding to first database is described in col. 3, lines 43-45.

Second record identifier corresponding to record in second database is described in col. 5, lines 42-43.

Zoltan teaches synchronization between two databases using the universal identifier and record identifiers of the databases as described in col. 2, lines 56-62.

Regarding claim 6, Zoltan teaches the claimed invention of table interface and updating the keys as shown in fig 2, element 210 and described in col. 3, lines 4-8.

Regarding claim 7, Zoltan teaches the claimed invention of identifier matching as described in col. 2, lines 57-61. A table update system is described in col. 3, lines 4-8.

Regarding claim 8, Zoltan teaches the claimed invention of accessing information from particular storage as shown in fig 8, element 804 and 806.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 10/614,968

Art Unit: 2176

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zoltan (Patent # 6,529,917).

Regarding claims 2-5, Zoltan teaches the claimed invention as described above with respect to claim 1. Zoltan does not specifically teach key comprising data element type or name of the storage as claimed. However it is common practice in the art of database to have a key with data element type or name as is always done in spreadsheet application. An official notice is taken in this regard. Therefore it would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize well-known technique of utilizing keys with data element type or storage name because it would facilitate the database administrator in retrieving the data based on data type or storage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv D. Shah whose telephone number is (703) 305-8355. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,968 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanjiv D. Shah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2176

S. Shah May 14, 2004